

Application No. 09/466,392
Amendment dated April 1, 2004
Reply to Office Action dated December 1, 2003


REMARKS

The Examiner provisionally rejected claims 5-10 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-14 of co-pending Application 09/466,404. The Terminal Disclaimer previously submitted was found insufficient. Submitted herewith is a new Terminal Disclaimer signed by the attorney of record, Michael E. Schmitt.

Applicant believes that all claims currently remaining in the application are allowable over the prior art and respectfully requests the allowance of such claims.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: August 19, 2004


Michael J. Ure
Attorney for Applicant
Reg. No. 33,089

Page 2 of 13